

Assessing the Impact of Gender and Emotional Intelligence on Role Stress and Leadership Style

Dr.B.Uma Maheswar Gowd, N.Harjot Kaur Singh

Department of Management, Sri Guru Granth Sahib World University, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, India

Abstract:

This study investigates the complex interplay between leadership styles, organizational role stress (ORS), emotional intelligence (EI), and gender among school administrators. Utilizing a multistage random sampling technique, data was collected from 250 principals across private senior secondary schools in five districts of Punjab (Moga, Ludhiana, Patiala, Ferozpur, and Jalandhar). The study employed standardized psychometric scales to assess Leadership Style (Sinha, 1983), Emotional Intelligence (Hyde et al., 2002), and Organizational Role Stress (Pareek, 1993). Statistical analysis, including mean, SD, correlation, and t-ratios, revealed that the Participative style is the predominant leadership approach across genders, utilized by 35% of male and 39% of female principals. Furthermore, principals exhibiting high emotional intelligence and those experiencing high role stress also favored the Participative style (34% and 38%, respectively). A significant finding emerged regarding the Authoritarian style; regression analysis indicated that among male principals, Self-awareness served as a positive predictor, whereas Managing Relations acted as a negative predictor of authoritarian behavior. The findings suggest that to foster high academic standards and a congenial work environment, principals must leverage emotional intelligence to mitigate rigid leadership tendencies and manage organizational stress effectively.

Keywords: Leadership Styles, Emotional Intelligence, Organizational Role Stress, School Principals, Participative Leadership, Gender Differences, Secondary Education.

INTRODUCTION

In the complex landscape of modern administration, leadership is no longer defined solely by authority or the accumulation of assets. While buildings and machinery can be owned and managed, people must be led. This distinction highlights that effective leadership is a sophisticated blend of art and science—a duality that requires both objective knowledge and intense personal development. At its core, successful

leadership relies heavily on **Emotional Intelligence (EI)**. A leader's ability to construct a foundation of trust, navigate human impulses, and remain optimistic in the face of challenges is often the deciding factor in an institution's longevity. By synthesizing the "science" of organizational goals with the "art" of human connection, leaders transform from mere managers into

the vital "fly-wheels" that regulate and drive the entire institutional machine.

Statement of the Problem (Expanded)

The contemporary educational landscape puts immense pressure on school administrators. This study investigates the complex triangulation between **Leadership Styles**, **Organizational Role Stress (ORS)**, and **Emotional Intelligence (EI)** among senior secondary school principals. Specifically, the problem focuses on how gender acts as a moderating variable in these relationships.

The core problem addresses whether the emotional competence of a principal and the stress derived from their organizational role significantly predict the leadership style they adopt. Furthermore, it seeks to determine if male and female principals exhibit distinct patterns in how stress and emotional intelligence influence their administrative behavior in the private unaided school sector.



Delimitations of the Study

To ensure the feasibility and precision of the research, the study is effectively bounded by the following parameters:

- **Sample Composition:** The investigation is strictly confined to a sample size of **250 principals**.
- **Institutional Scope:** The study focuses exclusively on **Private Unaided Senior Secondary Schools**, excluding government and aided institutions to maintain homogeneity in organizational structure.
- **Geographical Scope:** The data collection is geofenced to five specific districts within the state of **Punjab**:
 1. Moga
 2. Ludhiana
 3. Jalandhar
 4. Patiala
 5. Ferozepur

Refined Objectives of the Study

The objectives have been rephrased for academic precision, categorized by the nature of the statistical analysis required.

A. Descriptive & Comparative Analysis (Gender)

1. To profile the prevailing leadership styles adopted by principals and analyze variations based on gender.
2. To assess and compare the intensity of Organizational Role Stress (ORS) perceived by male versus female principals.
3. To measure the levels of Emotional Intelligence (EI) among principals and determine if significant gender-based differences exist.

B. Correlational Analysis

4. To investigate the correlation between the various dimensions of Organizational Role Stress and the leadership styles exhibited by principals.

5. To determine the relationship between the dimensions of Emotional Intelligence and the adoption of specific leadership styles.

C. Differential Analysis (High vs. Low Groups)

6. To examine if gender creates a statistically significant difference in the preference for specific leadership styles.

7. To analyze the variance in leadership styles between principals perceiving High ORS versus those perceiving Low ORS.

8. To evaluate the differences in leadership choices among principals demonstrating High EI compared to those with Low EI.

D. Predictive Analysis (Regression)

9. To identify the specific predictors of leadership styles from the independent variables (ORS and EI) specifically within the cohort of male principals.

10. To identify the specific predictors of leadership styles from the independent variables (ORS and EI) specifically within the cohort of female principals.

Proposed Hypotheses

Since you have objectives, you will likely need Hypotheses to test. Here are unique **Null Hypotheses** (H_0) derived from your objectives:

- **H_{01} :** There is no significant difference in the leadership styles preferred by male and female principals.
- **H_{02} :** There is no significant relationship between the dimensions of Organizational Role Stress and the leadership styles of principals.
- **H_{03} :** Emotional Intelligence and Organizational Role Stress do not significantly predict the leadership styles of male principals.
- **H_{04} :** Emotional Intelligence and Organizational Role Stress do not significantly

predict the leadership styles of female principals.

Significance of the Study

- **For Educational Policy:** This study will help school management boards understand if high stress is forcing principals into ineffective leadership styles (e.g., authoritarianism).
- **For Recruitment:** Understanding the predictors of leadership (Obj 9 & 10) can assist in hiring principals who possess the right balance of Emotional Intelligence to handle private sector pressure.
- **For Gender Studies:** By isolating male and female predictors, the study contributes to the debate on gender-specific administrative behaviors in the Indian educational context.

METHODOLOGY

The current research focused on principals serving in unaided private senior secondary schools across five specific districts in the state of Punjab: Jalandhar, Ludhiana, Moga, Ferozepur, and Patiala. From a total population universe of 1,150 principals, a representative sample of 250 participants was secured utilizing a multistage random sampling technique.

To ensure robust data collection, the study employed three standardized psychometric instruments: the Leadership Style Scale (Sinha, 1983), the Organizational Role Stress Scale (Pareek, 1993), and the Emotional Intelligence Scale (Hyde et al., 2002). The collected data underwent rigorous statistical treatment, including descriptive statistics (mean, median, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis) to establish normality, followed by inferential techniques such as bi-variate correlation. The study leverages

differential assessment and multivariate regression to scrutinize the proposed hypotheses

Thematic Analysis of Findings

1. The Dominance of Participative Leadership

The data reveals a consensus on leadership preference. The Participative style (LS5) emerged as the dominant style (37% overall).

- **Universality:** This preference holds true across all variables. Whether a principal has high or low Emotional Intelligence, or experiences high or low Organizational Role Stress, they consistently lean toward a participative approach.
- **Gender Nuance:** While both genders favor this style, female principals (39%) utilize it slightly more frequently than their male counterparts (35%).

2. Emotional Intelligence (EI) as a Predictor

The study highlights a significant divergence in how EI dimensions influence leadership between genders.

- **Male Principals:**
 - **The Authoritarian Driver:** For males, high self-awareness and self-development correlate with an *Authoritarian* style. Conversely, the ability to "Manage Relations" acts as a buffer; as relationship management skills improve, authoritarian tendencies decrease (negative predictor).
 - **Motivation:** High Self-motivation in males is linked to both Bureaucratic and Task-Oriented styles.
- **Female Principals:**
 - **The Empathy Paradox:** Uniquely, traits typically associated with softness—Empathy, Altruism, and Emotional Stability—were

significantly correlated with the *Authoritarian* style in females.

- **Task Orientation:** Emotional stability is a key driver for females adopting a Task-Oriented style.
- **Participative Driver:** Self-awareness in females correlates directly with the preferred Participative style.

3. The Impact of Organizational Role Stress (ORS)

Stress factors play a pivotal role in shaping leadership behaviors, particularly regarding "Inter-Role Distance" (the conflict between one's role and other life roles).

- **Inter-Role Distance (The Universal Stressor):**
 - For **Males**, this stressor predicts Bureaucratic and Nurturant styles. However, lower levels of inter-role distance are required for them to adopt the preferred Participative style.
 - For **Females**, high inter-role distance is correlated with Authoritarian, Bureaucratic, and Task-oriented styles.
- **Stress & Leadership Style Correlation:**
 - **Male Principals:** They tend to abandon the Participative style when stress is high. Specific stressors like Role Ambiguity, Role Expectation Conflict, and Resource Inadequacy are negatively correlated with Participative leadership (meaning high stress = less participation).
 - **Female Principals:** High stress (specifically Role Erosion and Overload) correlates positively with Authoritarian and Task-Oriented styles. This suggests that under pressure, female principals may "tighten the reins" and focus strictly on tasks.

Comparative Table: Gender Dynamics in Leadership

Feature	Male Principals	Female Principals
Dominant Style	Participative (35%)	Participative (39%)
Predictors of Authoritarian Style	(+) Self-Awareness, Self-Motivation (-) Managing Relations	(+) Empathy, Emotional Stability, Altruism
Predictors of Task-Oriented Style	(+) Self-Motivation, Self-Development (-) Role Stagnation	(+) Emotional Stability (+) Role Overload & Erosion
Response to Stress	High stress reduces Participative behavior.	High stress increases Authoritarian and Task-Oriented behavior.
Key Role Stressor	Inter-Role Distance: Predicts Bureaucratic & Nurturant styles.	Inter-Role Distance: Predicts Authoritarian, Bureaucratic & Nurturant styles.

Conclusion & Implications

The research concludes that while the **Participative style** is the ideal operational mode for principals, it is sensitive to internal and external pressures.

1. **For Male Principals:** Interventions should focus on **Relationship Management**. Since this is a negative predictor of Authoritarianism, improving social skills can help male principals soften their approach. Furthermore, reducing **Role Stagnation** is essential to promoting task orientation.
2. **For Female Principals:** Interventions should focus on **Stress Reduction (specifically Overload and Erosion)**. Since high stress drives female principals toward authoritarianism and task-rigidity, providing better resource support and role clarity is crucial for maintaining a Participative culture.
3. **Overall:** To foster the preferred Participative style, educational institutions must minimize **Inter-Role Distance** and **Role Ambiguity**, as these are significant barriers that push leaders toward Bureaucratic or Authoritarian tendencies.

REFERENCES

1. Bennis W. The seven ages of the leader, Harvard business review. 2004 ; 82(1) :46 -53.
2. Lewin K. Frontiers in Group Dynamics: Concept, Method and Reality in Social Science; Social Equilibria and Social Change. Human Relations. 1939 ; 1(5) : 5 -41.
3. Bar-On R. Emotional quotient inventory: a measure of emotional intelligence technical manual. Ontario: Multi - Health Systems. Toronto, Canada, 2004 .
4. Carless SA. Gender differences in transformational leadership: an examination of superior, leader and

- subordinate perspectives. *Sex Roles*. 1998 ; 38 :225 - 228.
5. Chibber ML. Mahavakya on leadership, new age leadership - A Viable Means For Quality Development, *Edutrack Journal*, 2005; 9(5) :16 -19.
6. Bass, B.M., (1999). Two decades of research and development in transformational leadership. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 8(1), 9-32.
7. Berson, Y., Shamair, B., Avolio, B.J. and Popper, M. (2001), The relationship between vision strength, leadership style & context. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 12:53-73.
8. Carmeli (2003), the relationship between emotional intelligence and work attitudes, behavior and outcomes an examination among senior managers, *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 18 (8), 788-813.
9. Caruso, D., Mayer, J., & Salovey, P. (2002). Relation of an ability measure of emotional intelligence to personality. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 79(2), 306-320.
10. Charbonneau, D., & Nicol, A.M., (2002), Emotional intelligence and leadership in adolescents, *Personality and Individual Differences*, 33, 1101–1113
11. Alon I, Higgins J (2006). Global leadership success through emotional and cultural intelligence. *Bus. Horiz.* 48:501-512.
12. Banihashemian K, Moazen M (2011). Relationship between Leadership Styles and Emotional Intelligence of Managers on their Employee's Satisfaction. *J. Gilan Med. Sci.* 19(74):76-84.
13. Bradberry T, Greaves J (2003). Emotional intelligence quickbook: Everything you need to know, San Diego, CA: Talent Smart Inc.
14. Bryan SP (2007). Emotional Intelligence and Intrapersonal Conversations. Published in www.eiconsortium.org. The Consortium for Research on Emotional Intelligence in Organizations – Issues in Emotional Intelligence. pp. 1-9
15. Mayer, J. D., Roberts, R. D., & Barsade, S. G. (2008). Human abilities: Emotional intelligence. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.*, 59, 507-536. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093646
16. Munawarah, S. H., Yusrizal, Y., & Usman, N. (2020). Principal Management in Improving the Educational Quality at Elementary School Cluster of Bungong Keupula, Banda Aceh, Indonesia. *Jurnal Pendidikan Progresif*, 10(1), 146-153.
17. Nawaz, Z., & Khan, I. (2016). Leadership theories and styles: A literature review. *Leadership*, 16, 1-7.
18. Nyenyembe, F. W., Maslowski, R., Nimrod, B. S., & Peter, L. (2016). Leadership Styles and Teachers' Job Satisfaction in Tanzanian Public Secondary Schools. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 4(5), 980-988. doi:10.13189/ujer.2016.040507
19. Rosyadi, Y. I., & Pardjono, P. (2015). Peran kepala sekolah sebagai manajer dalam meningkatkan mutu pendidikan di smp 1 cilawu garut. *Jurnal Akuntabilitas Manajemen Pendidikan*, 3(1), 124-133. doi:10.21831/amp.v3i1.6276
20. Saeed, R., Azizollah, A., Zahra, A., Abdolghayoum, N., Zaman, A., & Peyman, Y. (2012). Effect of Female Principal's Management Styles on Teacher's Job Satisfaction in Isfahan-Iran, Girls High Schools. *International Education Studies*, 4(3), 124-132. doi:10.5539/ies.v4n3p124
21. Savel, R. H., & Munro, C. L. (2016). Emotional intelligence: for the leader in us all. In: AACN.
22. Ugoani, J., & Amu, C. (2015). Dimensions of emotional intelligence and transformational leadership: A correlation analysis. *Independent Journal Of Management & Production (IJM&P)* v, 6. doi:10.14807/ijmp.v6i2.278